

**Paper Reference(s) 9HI0/2F**  
**Pearson Edexcel Level 3 GCE**

## **History**

**Advanced**

**PAPER 2: Depth study**

**Option 2F.1: India, c1914–48: the road to independence**

**Option 2F.2: South Africa, 1948–94: from apartheid state to  
'rainbow nation'**

**Sources Booklet**

**DO NOT RETURN THIS BOOKLET WITH  
THE QUESTION PAPER.**

## **Sources for use with Section A.**

**Answer the question in Section A on the option for which you have been prepared.**

### **Option 2F.1: India, c1914–48: the road to independence**

## **Sources for use with Question 1.**

**SOURCE 1:** From a speech made by Viscount Finlay in the House of Lords, 19 July 1920. He was proposing that the House of Lords should express its support for the actions of General Dyer in Amritsar. This proposal was passed by the House of Lords.

I strongly feel that an injustice has been done to a very distinguished and deserving officer. This case may affect the efficiency of our Empire in the future. One of the foundations of our Empire has been the feeling that every officer might rely upon his superiors standing by him, as long as the officer acted honestly and performed his duty.

5

**(continued on the next page)**

The basis upon which General Dyer has been condemned is that, in dealing with the mob at Amritsar, he was mainly concerned with the effects of his action on the rest of the Punjab. It has been stated in very emphatic terms by the Secretary of State\* that, in doing this, General Dyer committed a terrible error. Dyer has been told that he ought to have simply restored order in Amritsar. 10 15

In the Jallianwala Bagh, Dyer found an enormous gathering of men, many of them criminals of the worst type. It is difficult to get an estimate, but there is no doubt that it was an enormous meeting. General Dyer's own estimate is from 15,000 to 20,000. It is absolutely untrue that any women or children were present. Women in India do not attend meetings and there were no children. 20

The meeting was assembled in open defiance of the Proclamations that had been made that day. If General Dyer had hesitated, all would have been lost. 25

\*Secretary of State – the Secretary of State for India, Edwin Montagu

**SOURCE 2: From ‘The Amritsar Massacre’, an article published in the Grey River Argus newspaper, 12 November 1920. The Grey River Argus newspaper was published in New Zealand that, at the time, was a Dominion within the British Empire.**

**The five British members (the Majority) of the Hunter Committee on the disorders in the Punjab have issued a report. It covers up the truth regarding the Government of the Punjab and martial law. In this inhuman document, they** 5

**excuse some of the worst examples of military tyranny. They select two acts of General Dyer at the Jallianwala Bagh as ‘open to criticism’ – his firing without giving people a chance to**

**disperse and his firing after the crowd had** 10

**begun to scatter. They add a weak criticism regarding unusual punishments, such as the crawling order, and they disapprove of too much flogging. They defend the use of**

**martial law both for preventing rebellion and** 15

**for punishment.**

**(continued on the next page)**

The three Indian members (the Minority), while agreeing with the Majority regarding some facts, declare that the conduct of General Dyer was inhuman and un-British. They severely criticise the administration and the long continuance of martial law. They reject the Majority's theory that the riots amounted to 'rebellion'. 20

Mr Montagu agrees with the Minority. He has persuaded the Cabinet to join him in condemning the actions of the Punjab Government. He declares that General Dyer's action was a 'complete violation' of the principle of the minimum use of military force. Montagu also criticises Dyer's inhumanity in neglecting the wounded and describes the crawling order as an offence against 'every principle of civilised government'. 25 30

## **Option 2F.2: South Africa, 1948–94: from apartheid state to ‘rainbow nation’**

### **Sources for use with Question 2.**

**SOURCE 3: From Nelson Mandela, Message from Robben Island after the Soweto Uprising, written 1977. This letter to the people of Soweto was smuggled out of prison on Robben Island. The letter took more than two years to reach the ANC in exile, who later published it.**

**The rattle of gunfire and the rumbling of armoured vehicles since June 1976 have once again shown the real face of apartheid.**

**In the midst of the present crisis, while our people count the dead and nurse the injured they ask what lies ahead? We can expect nothing from our rulers. They are the ones who give orders to the soldier crouching over his rifle. Our rulers will move along the fixed paths of vague promises, minor changes to apartheid and the constitution, massive arrests and detentions. The verdict of 16 June is loud and clear: apartheid has failed. Our young people were at the forefront of this 1976/77 wave of unrest.**

**5**  
**10**

**(continued on the next page)**

**Both within and beyond our borders, the prospects of victory grow bright. The first condition for victory is black unity. Every effort to divide our people must be prevented. Our people – African, Coloured, Indian and democratic Whites – must be united into a single massive and solid wall of resistance, of united mass action.**

15  
20

**The revulsion of the world against apartheid is growing. The world is on our side. The UN and the anti-apartheid movement continue to put pressure on the racist rulers of our country. Every effort to isolate South Africa adds strength to our struggle.**

25

**Unite! Mobilise! Fight on!**

**SOURCE 4: From Allister Sparks, *The Mind of South Africa*, published 1990. At the time of the Soweto Uprising in 1976, Sparks was assistant editor of the *Rand Daily Mail* newspaper, a liberal English-language publication.**

**A new generation of black students, inspired by Steve Biko's ideas, accused their elders of having been too weak. Why had their elders tolerated apartheid for so long? Why had they not been more militant? Move over oldies.**

5

**16 June 1976. It was a Wednesday morning, sunny and bright. A column of youngsters came marching by. They were confronted by a small group of armed white policemen. An officer shouted to the students to halt. The students jeered and waved their fists. Tear gas was fired, some rocks were thrown, and then the police opened fire with live ammunition.**

10

**(continued on the next page)**

By nightfall, Soweto was ablaze. Before it ended, the violence had spread to 160 different communities. Within a week 176 people were dead, within a year more than 600. The uprising lasted seventeen months before it was finally crushed in another wave of repressive action, with the government banning twenty-two Black Consciousness organisations. By the end of 1977, Biko was dead, his movement was outlawed, thousands of young activists were in prison, and 14,000 people had fled the country for exile abroad.

The Soweto riots served as a warning that something had better be done to make life more bearable in the ghettos. So, reforms were introduced to allow home ownership and commercial development. The search began for a constitutional formula that could include urban blacks as well as the 'Coloureds' and 'Indians' for whom there were no separate homelands.

## **Acknowledgements**

**Source 1 from: <https://api.parliament.uk/historic-hansard/lords/1920/jul/19/punjab-disturbances-the-case-of-general>**

**Source 2 from: <https://paperspast.natlib.govt.nz/newspapers/GRA19201112.2.36>**

**Source 3 from: Mandela, Tambo, and the African National Congress By Sheridan Johns and R. Hunt Davis, Jr. © Oxford University Press, 1991**

**Source 4 from: The Mind of South Africa By Allister Sparks © Heinemann, 1990**